NOTICE OF APPLICATION

City of Liberty Lake Planning & Building Services (Review Authority) has published this Notice of Application to provide the opportunity to comment on the described proposal. The comment period ends 14 calendar days from the date issued. During this period, written comments may be submitted to the Review Authority. The file may be examined 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Monday through Friday (except holidays) at City Hall. Project info is also available on the City website at www.libertylakewa.gov/development/public_notices.asp. Questions may be directed to the Project Coordinator listed below.

Proposal File #: GRD2018-0001  Zoning: RDSAP Mixed Residential
Proposal: Orchard Park
Proposal Description: New public park
Site Address: 20298 E Indiana Avenue
General Location: East of Barker Rd, South of Spokane River, North of Mission Ave, West of Harvard Rd
Abbreviated Legal Description - Section: 8 & 9 Township: 25N Range: 45E, W.M.
Owner: City of Liberty Lake  Phone: 509-755-8700
Contact: Andrew Staples  Phone: 509-755-8700
Application Date: 2-14-18  Determination of Completeness Issued: 2-14-18
Notice of Application Issued: 2-23-18  Comment Deadline: 3-12-18, 4pm

City of Liberty Lake Permits Included in Application: City Building Permits will need to be issued prior to beginning construction.

Other Permits: Liberty Lake Sewer District approval, WA State Dept. of Ecology (DOE) permits & approvals, Spokane Clean Air permits & approvals, and Spokane Regional Health District permits & approvals may need to be issued prior to construction.

Required & Existing Studies: A SEPA Checklist has been completed.

Environmental Review: City of Liberty Lake Planning & Building Services is reviewing the proposed project for probable adverse environmental impacts and expects to issue a Determination of Nonsignificance (DNS) for this project. Any SEPA appeal is governed by the City of Liberty Lake Environmental Ordinance and such appeal shall be filed within fourteen (14) days after the notice that the determination has been made and is appealable. The proposal may include mitigation measures under applicable codes, and the project review process may incorporate or require mitigation measures regardless of whether an EIS is prepared. A copy of the subsequent threshold determination for this proposal may be obtained upon request and will be supplied to reviewing agencies.

Development Regulations: City of Liberty Lake Development & Building Codes, Standards for Street and Sewer Construction, and the Stormwater Management Manual are the primary City regulations applicable to the site.

Consistency: In consideration of the above referenced development regulations and typical conditions and/or mitigating measures, the proposal is found to be consistent, as provided in RCW 36.70B.040, with the “type of land use”, “level of development”, “infrastructure”, and “character of development”.

Written Comments: Agencies, tribes, and the public are encouraged to review and provide written comments on the proposed project and its probable environmental impacts. All comments received within 14 calendar days of the date this Notice of Application is issued, will be considered prior to making a decision on this application.

Public Hearing: As a Type I Project Permit, this action is not subject to a future public hearing.

REVIEW AUTHORITY: PROJECT COORDINATOR: Andrew Staples, City Engineer
City of Liberty Lake Public Works
22710 E. Country Vista, Liberty Lake, WA 99019
Phone: (509) 755-6707, Fax: (509) 755-6713, www.libertylakewa.gov

Date Issued: 2-14-18
Signature: [Signature]
SEPA ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

Purpose of checklist:
Governmental agencies use this checklist to help determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant. This information is also helpful to determine if available avoidance, minimization or compensatory mitigation measures will address the probable significant impacts or if an environmental impact statement will be prepared to further analyze the proposal.

Instructions for applicants:
This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Please answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. You may need to consult with an agency specialist or private consultant for some questions. You may use "not applicable" or "does not apply" only when you can explain why it does not apply and not when the answer is unknown. You may also attach or incorporate by reference additional studies reports. Complete and accurate answers to these questions often avoid delays with the SEPA process as well as later in the decision-making process.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Instructions for Lead Agencies:
Please adjust the format of this template as needed. Additional information may be necessary to evaluate the existing environment, all interrelated aspects of the proposal and an analysis of adverse impacts. The checklist is considered the first but not necessarily the only source of information needed to make an adequate threshold determination. Once a threshold determination is made, the lead agency is responsible for the completeness and accuracy of the checklist and other supporting documents.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:
For nonproject proposals (such as ordinances, regulations, plans and programs), complete the applicable parts of sections A and B plus the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (part D). Please completely answer all questions that apply and note that the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proponent," and "affected geographic area," respectively. The lead agency may exclude (for non-projects) questions in Part B - Environmental Elements — that do not contribute meaningfully to the analysis of the proposal.

A. Background

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable:
   City of Liberty Lake – Orchard Park Project

2. Name of applicant:
   City of Liberty Lake, Washington
3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:
   Andrew Staples – City Engineer
   City of Liberty Lake
   22710 E Country Vista Dr.
   Liberty Lake, WA99019
   509-755-6730

4. Date checklist prepared:
   February 13, 2018

5. Agency requesting checklist:
   City of Liberty Lake, WA

6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):
   Construction is scheduled for summer of 2018.

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.
   The City of Liberty Lake may add features to the park in the future as funding is available.

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.
   The following are being prepared for the project:
   - Cultural Resources Inventory
   - Geotechnical investigation

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.
   Development proposals immediately surrounding the park are planned for the near future.

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.
    The following approvals or permits may be needed for the project:
    - Ecology NPDES General Stormwater Construction permit

11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.)
    The Project involves clearing and grading the existing flat ground and installing parking, trees and various park features typical to the City of Liberty Lake.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you
are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.

The Project is located in Sections 8 and 9 of Township 25 North, Range 45 East, Willamette Meridian. The park is located on the north side of Mission Avenue and south of the Spokane River.

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

1. Earth
a. General description of the site:

(circle one): Flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other __________

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?
   Slopes at the site generally range from 0% along roadways to 5% on adjacent landscaped areas.

c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils.
   Soils mapped at the site are primarily agricultural land, gravelly substratum.

   During the geotechnical investigation conducted by GeoEngineers in March 2017, about 7 to 10 inches of topsoil at each of the boring locations was encountered, consisting of medium dense fine to coarse gravel with sand, variable silt content, and organic matter (roots). Five feet below the topsoil, gravel deposits were encountered.

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.
   Based on the recent geotechnical investigation (GeoEngineers, 2017), no unstable soils were identified, nor were there historical indications of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity of the site. The City of Liberty Lake Community Development Department Map of UGA Boundaries Study Geologic Hazards & Constraints Map (October 2016) indicates the proposed park location is outside the areas considered to have erodible soils.

e. Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.
   No grading is currently proposed. Drilled shafts and/or excavation will be needed to accommodate the foundations for the proposed pavilion poles. Total excavation volumes will be dependent upon the depths needed for the foundations. If fill is needed for foundations, material will be comprised of compacted granular structural backfill.

   Trenches would need to be excavated from 2 to 5 feet below ground surface for utility connections. Engineered backfill would be needed around the these utility lines. The total excavation is anticipated to be approximately 12,000 cubic yards.

f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.
The project will be primarily completed within paved roadway and developed sidewalks. Other areas that may be affected are currently developed with manicured grasses or landscaping. Based on the nature of the proposed work, relatively level site conditions, foundations/utility trenches, minimal erosion would be anticipated.

Best Management Practices (BMPs), discussed under Question B.1.h., below, may be utilized in the event erosion is observed during track out by construction equipment and vehicles.

g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?
   The site is primarily covered (>95%) with unimproved bare ground. A net increase of about 20% or 2.3 acres in impervious surface.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:
   A Temporary Erosion Sediment Control Plan (TESCP) with applicable BMPs will be developed to address potential erosion that may occur as a result of construction activities. BMPs may include silt fencing, catch basin inserts, and street sweeping. A Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure (SPCC) Plan will be developed for construction activities to address potential accidental spills and emergency measures.

2. Air

a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.
   Additional temporary equipment/vehicle emissions and fugitive dust may result from construction activities. Long-term air quality conditions are not anticipated to be degraded from the proposed work. Local air quality conditions at the intersections may improve following installation of the park due to the presence of irrigated turf.

b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.
   There are no known sources of emissions or odors that would affect this proposal.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:
   Dust suppression BMPs will be utilized at the site to reduce fugitive dust emissions in accordance with WAC 173-400-040. A temporary increase in hydrocarbon emissions is likely due to the need for equipment and vehicles during construction.

3. Water

a. Surface Water:
   1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.
No surface water bodies are present on or in the immediate vicinity of the site. The nearest surface water is the Spokane River, approximately 0.2-miles north of the proposed park.

2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans. The project will not require any work over, in, or adjacent to any surface water.

3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

   No fill and dredge material will be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands during this project.

4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known. The proposal will not require surface water withdrawals or diversions.

5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan. A review of the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Floodplain Map (53063C0595D) indicates the project area is located within Zone X, outside the 100-year floodplain.

6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge. The proposal will not involve discharges of waste materials to surface waters.

b. Ground Water:

   1) Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known. The proposal will not require groundwater withdrawals. Geotechnical borings completed by GeoEngineers in March 2017 to 4.5 feet below ground surface indicated no groundwater was present, and should not impact design or construction of the proposed improvements (GeoEngineers, 2017).

   2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals. . . ; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve. Waste material will not be discharged into the ground during construction activities.

c. Water runoff (including stormwater):
1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

**Stormwater at the proposed park is conveyed via pipe and surface flow over impervious pavement and concrete sidewalks to swales in the park owned and operated by the City of Liberty Lake.**

2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

**Waste materials are not expected to enter ground or surface water during construction. Implementation of BMPs will reduce the likelihood these materials will reach surface water during construction. A SPCC plan will be developed for construction activities to decrease the chance of spills at the proposed park.**

3) Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe.

**Temporary, minor impacts to drainage could occur during construction. Following installation of the park, most stormwater will be treated on site.**

- Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage pattern impacts, if any:

  - A TESC plan will be developed to reduce potential for impacts to surface water and groundwater.

  - Temporary, minor impacts to drainage could occur during construction. Following installation of park and underground utilities, the surface will be improved to a developed state.

  - An SPCC plan will be developed to reduce the likelihood of spills of hazardous materials/petroleum products and emergency measures in the event a spill does occur during construction.

4. Plants

a. Check the types of vegetation found on the site:

   - __X__deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other
   - __X__evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other
   - __X__shrubs
   - __X__grass
   - __X__pasture
   - __X__crop or grain
   - ____Orchards, vineyards or other permanent crops.
   - ____wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage, other
   - ____water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other
   - ____other types of vegetation

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

   **The majority of the site is covered with pervious surfaces (e.g., soil and gravel).**

c. List threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.
A species list was obtained from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) for the project area. According to the list provided, no federally-listed threatened or endangered plant/animal species are known to be on or near the site.

d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:
   Vegetated areas will be installed throughout the park. There is virtually no native vegetation to preserve..

e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site.
   There are no known noxious weeds or invasive species known to be on or near the site.

5. Animals

a. List any birds and other animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site.

   Examples include:
   birds: hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds, other:
   mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver, other:
   fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other ________

b. List any threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.
   There have been no known threatened or endangered species documented at the site. The species list provided by USFWS indicates western yellow-billed cuckoo (Coccyzus americanus) has proposed critical habitat near the site. Cuckoos typically prefer to nest in riparian woodlands. Therefore, the proposed work will not affect any potential cuckoo habitat.

   Bull trout (Salvelinus confluentus) critical habitat is also mapped in the Spokane River, which is approximately 0.2 miles north of the site. However, bull trout are not expected to occur in the Spokane River downstream from Post Falls Dam. Owing to the distance from the Spokane River and the unlikely presence, the proposed work will not affect bull trout or habitat associated with this species.

   Water howellia (Howellia aquatilis) is a winter annual aquatic plant. Since the project is 0.2 miles south of the Spokane River and not near any other aquatic lands the proposed work will not affect Water howellia or habitat associated with this species.

c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.
   The site is situated within the Pacific flyway migration route. There is no habitat for waterfowl using this route at the site. Migratory songbirds may utilize habitat near the site. However, the majority of the site is open fields. Any temporary impacts will be mitigated following construction. Thus, no impact to migratory species is anticipated as a result of this project.

d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:
   Since the site is primarily open field no wildlife enhancement measures are proposed.
e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.
   No invasive species are known to be present on or near the site.

6. Energy and Natural Resources

a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet
   the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating,
   manufacturing, etc.
   Petroleum will be used to fuel vehicles and construction equipment at the site.
   Electricity will be used following completion of the construction to power the
   park.

b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties?
   If so, generally describe.
   The project will not affect potential use of solar energy at adjacent properties.

c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal?
   List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:
   No energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal.

7. Environmental Health

a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk
   of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal?
   If so, describe.
   A review of regulatory records provided by Environmental Data Resources (EDR)
   indicates there are no leaking underground storage tank sites near the proposed
   work locations. The Spokane River and the Greenacres Landfill are the only
   Confirmed and Suspected Contaminated Sites List (CSCSL) facilities listed on the
   EDR Report within one mile of the site. None are expected to pose an
   environmental threat to proposed construction activities.

   Divine Corp Liberty Lake #04 is the nearest underground storage tank (UST)
   facility, situated about 1.22 miles east of the area of potential impact. No releases
   have been reported from this facility, and therefore, it should not be an
   environmental concern.

   Geotechnical pits were excavated at the proposed park locations by
   GeoEngineers to depths of 9 feet bgs on March 23 & 24, 2017 (GeoEngineers,
   2017). No indications of petroleum (odors, visual) or other contamination were
   reported. Considering the information provided in the EDR report and
   geotechnical investigation, it is considered unlikely there would be exposure to
   toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, or hazardous waste as a result of
   construction activities.

   1) Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses.
      No contamination is suspected near the site.

   2) Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development
      and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines
located within the project area and in the vicinity.
No existing hazardous chemicals or conditions are expected to affect the project.

3) Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project.
No toxic or hazardous materials will be stored, used, or produced during the project implementation. Petroleum will be used in construction equipment and vehicles during construction. However, due to proximity to available petroleum distribution facilities, petroleum storage will not be necessary at the site.

4) Describe special emergency services that might be required.

No special emergency services will be needed for the project. Traffic control measures will be implemented to provide consideration for emergency vehicle access.

5) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

No measures are proposed to reduce or control environmental health hazards. Specifications will be developed to City standards to ensure worker and public safety.

b. Noise

1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?
Standard traffic noise exists at the project site. However, this standard noise is not anticipated to affect the project.

2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.
Heavy equipment and standard construction traffic will result in additional temporary noise at the site. This additional noise will occur during typical working hours. Following construction, noise is expected to return to pre-construction levels.

3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:
Noise performance standards promulgated by WSDOT require construction levels to be below state, federal, and local thresholds. No variances are anticipated for this project.

8. Land and Shoreline Use

a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe.
The site is primarily agricultural with residential on the west side. The proposed work will not affect land uses on nearby or adjacent properties.

b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe.
How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to
other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to non-farm or non-forest use?

The site has been used as working farmlands, but has been purchased by the city for the proposed park use.

1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how:

No, it will not. The adjacent property is being developed into residential properties.

c. Describe any structures on the site.

There are no structures on the site.

d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?

No structures will be demolished as a result of the proposed park construction.

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

The property is zoned Mixed Use Residential. per the RDSAP.

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

The site boundaries are situated within the Single Family Residential plan designation area.

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

The project area is not located within a shoreline master program.

h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or county? If so, specify.

The City of Liberty Lake identifies the site within a Critical Aquifer Recharge Area.

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

No people would reside or work in the completed project.

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

The project will not displace any people.

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

The project will not displace any people.

L. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

The project will be completed in accordance with local standards to ensure existing and project land uses are not affected.

m. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts to agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any:

No impacts to agricultural or forest lands are anticipated from the proposed work.
The site has ceased to be used for agricultural purposes.

9. Housing
   a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.
      
      No housing units will be provided as a result of the project.
   
   b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.
      
      No housing units will be eliminated as a result of the project.
   
   c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:
      
      No impacts to housing are anticipated from the project.

10. Aesthetics
   a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?
      
      Proposed pavilion will be 20 feet tall.
   
   b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?
      
      Impacts to views in the immediate vicinity of the project will be minimal. Based on the residential use of the surrounding properties, these impacts are not considered significant.
   
   b. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:
      
      No measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts are proposed.

11. Light and Glare
   a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?
      
      Minimal light from site lighting will be produced as a result of the project. This light will be produced dusk to dawn. No significant additional glare is anticipated from the project.
   
   b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?
      
      Light produced from the site lighting will enhance safety by providing lighting through the park. Lighting is downward directed and glare should not be visible outside of the park.
   
   c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?
      
      No offsite sources of light or glare are expected to affect the proposal.
   
   d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:
      
      No measures to reduce or control light and glare are anticipated.

12. Recreation
a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity? Centennial Trail and the Spokane River are located about 0.2 miles north of the site. The Centennial Trail access is located about 1.0 miles east of the site. Five Fingers Park is located about 1.7 miles southeast of the site. Trailhead Golf Course is located about 1.4 miles southeast of the site. Pavilion Park is located about 2.0 miles southeasteast of the site. Pumphouse Park is situated about 2.3 miles southeast of the site.

b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

The proposed project will not displace recreational uses.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

The proposed project will have an impact on recreational opportunities. Park Will include trails, amphitheater, play fields, spray pad, etc.

13. Historic and cultural preservation

a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the site that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers? If so, specifically describe.

The Cultural Resources Inventory developed for the project by Plateau Archaeological Investigations, Inc. (PAI, 2017) indicated no Historic Properties Affected and no further archaeological investigations are recommended.

b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources.

The Cultural Resources Inventory (PAI, 2017) developed for the project indicated there are no previously recorded archaeological sites directly within the APE. A total of four previously recorded cultural resources and 18 cultural resource surveys within the search area.

No cemeteries are located within one-mile of the project APE.

c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc.

Pre-field research consisted of the review of known archaeological resources within a 1.0-mi. (1.6-km) radius of the Project Area as inventoried on the Washington Information System for Architectural and Archaeological Records Data at the Washington State Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation in Olympia, Washington. This search revealed four previously recorded cultural resources and 18 cultural resource surveys within the search area. None of these were located within the Project Area. The Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation’s predictive model places the Project Area within an area of Very High Risk for encountering cultural resources. The fieldwork was completed in a manner consistent with RCW 27.53.030, and
included inspection techniques to identify both surface and subsurface archaeological resources were completed. A pedestrian survey was conducted of the entire project area. Additionally, 25 subsurface probes were excavated. The pedestrian survey and subsurface investigations for the Orchard Park Project resulted in no newly recorded archaeological resources. Plateau recommends that the proposed undertaking will result in No Historic Properties Affected, and no further archaeological investigations are recommended prior to, or during, execution of this project.

d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required.

The fieldwork was completed in a manner consistent with RCW 27.53.030, and included inspection techniques to identify both surface and subsurface archaeological resources were completed. A pedestrian survey was conducted of the entire project area. Additionally, 25 subsurface probes were excavated. The pedestrian survey and subsurface investigations for the Orchard Park Project resulted in no newly recorded archaeological resources. Plateau recommends that the proposed undertaking will result in No Historic Properties Affected, and no further archaeological investigations are recommended prior to, or during, execution of this project.

14. Transportation
a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

The following public streets will be affected by the proposed work (Figure 1):
- East Mission Avenue
- East Indiana Avenue

b. Is the site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

The just south of the site is served by the Spokane Transit Authority. Bus stops are not yet present. The approximate distance to STA’s #98 route is 0.1 miles.

c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have? How many would the project or proposal eliminate?

55 new parking spaces are provided as part of this project.

d. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

The proposed work will improve existing developed public roads.

e. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.
The project will only occur within developed roadways. No work will occur in the immediate vicinity of water, rail, or air transportation.

f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and nonpassenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates?

The proposed park will not generate significant additional vehicular trips. Peak volume is anticipated during events.

g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, generally describe.

Since no adjacent or immediate land uses involve forest or farm commodities, the proposal will not likely interfere with the movement of these products.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

Traffic control will be designed and implemented in accordance with Liberty Lake standards. Construction will be coordinated with the City of Liberty Lake and Spokane Transit Authority to ensure transit disruptions are minimal, access is provided to local businesses, and traffic is maintained through the intersections.

15. Public Services

a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

The project will not result in increased need for public services.

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.

The traffic control plan would address construction detours, traffic flow, and emergency access.

16. Utilities

a. Circle utilities currently available at the site:

- electricity
- natural gas
- water
- refuse service
- telephone
- sanitary sewer
- septic system
- other

b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

Underground power is proposed for the new site lighting and restrooms. Avista Utilities is the local electricity provider in the area. Trenching will be conducted to place the power conduit, which will be connected to aboveground panels at the site.

C. Signature

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: [Signature]
D. References


Figure 1 – Vicinity Map